

REMARKS

This Reply is in response to the Office Action (Restriction Requirement) mailed on January 17, 2007 (Office Action).

In the Office Action, the Examiner set forth the following restriction requirement requiring election of one of the below identified groups:

Group I, claim(s) 1-9 and 12, drawn to a method of making a heat treated coated glazing panel.

Group II, claim(s) 10-11, drawn to a heat treatable glazing panel.


In response to the restriction/election requirement mailed January 17, 2007, Applicant expressly takes no position on the correctness of the restriction/election requirement. Applicant elects Group I (Claims 1-9 and 12) and has withdrawn Group II (Claims 10-11), because of the administrative/procedural requirement that an election be made, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142; M.P.E.P. § 818.03(b).

Applicant invites the Examiner to call the undersigned if it is believed that the above restriction election is incomplete or improper in any way, or if a telephonic interview will expedite the prosecution of the application to an allowance.

Although no fees are believed to be due, the Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in fees due with the filing of this document and during prosecution of this application to Deposit Account No. 50-0951.

Respectfully submitted,

AKERMAN SENTERFITT


Jerold I. Schneider

Registration No. 24,765

AKERMAN SENTERFITT

P.O. Box 3188

West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188

Tel: 561-653-5000

Date: February 13, 2007

Docket No. 4004-66